# Influence of dry and wet storage conditions on bond strength to gold alloy of four luting agents

# Mika Furuchi, DDS, PhD,<sup>a,b</sup> Akiko Oshima, DDS,<sup>a</sup> Yoshifumi Matsumoto, DDS,<sup>c</sup> Michiya Ayano, DDS,<sup>a</sup> Tsukasa Masuno, DDS,<sup>a</sup> and Hideo Matsumura, DDS, PhD,<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Nihon University School of Dentistry, <sup>b</sup>Division of Advanced Dental Treatment, Dental Research Center, Nihon University School of Dentistry, and <sup>c</sup>Nihon University Graduate School of Dentistry, Tokyo, Japan

**Purpose**: The purpose of the current study was to evaluate the influence of water storage conditions on bond strength of four luting agents joined to gold alloy.

**Materials and Methods**: Disk specimens (10 and 8 mm in diameter) were cast from a gold alloy (Degudent U), and the surfaces were ground with abrasive paper. Two resin-modified glass ionomers (RMGIs; Fuji Lute and Ionotite), a resin adhesive (Super-Bond C&B), and a conventional glass ionomer (Ketac Cem) were selected for bonding the gold alloy. A metal priming agent (Metaltite) was used together with the Fuji Lute and Super-Bond C&B materials. The paired specimens were bonded with five systems and placed in an incubator at 37°C under three different conditions; 1) immersed in water for 24 h, 2) immersed in water for 24 h followed by additional 7-day-immersion in water, and 3) immersed in water for 24 h followed by dry-state storage for 7 days. Shear bond strengths were then determined and statistically analyzed. Weight change of luting agents after storage was determined using disk-shaped specimens (10 mm in diameter by 2 mm in thickness).

**Results** Shear bond strength varied from a minimum of 0.1 MPa to a maximum of 40.9 MPa. Bond strength of Fuji Lute and Ketac Cem deteriorated after 7 days for both dry and wet storage conditions, whereas bond strength of Super-Bond C&B without priming improved after dry-storage. Bond strength of Ionotite and Super-Bond C&B with priming remain unchanged during the three storage conditions of the current experiment. Weight change of luting agents after storage was +1.6 to +5.5% for condition #1, +2.6 to +6.0% for condition #2, and -4.5 to -0.4% for condition #3.

**Conclusion**: Within the limitations of this experiment, it can be concluded that the use of either Ionotite alone or Super-Bond C&B combined with Metaltite is recommended for bonding gold alloy.

(Int Chin J Dent 2008; 8: 43-47.)

Key Words: bonding, gold alloy, primer, resin-modified glass ionomer, thione.

# Introduction

The use of resin-modified glass ionomers (RMGIs) and resin-based adhesives for cementing ceramic and metallic restorations has increased substantially. This trend is mainly derived from improvement in bonding characteristics and the increasing application of all-ceramic and collarless metal-ceramic restorations. Seating restorations with RMGI or resin adhesive are one of the options due to improved bond strength,<sup>1-6</sup> adequate sealing ability,<sup>7</sup> and cement thickness.<sup>8</sup>

According to the literature, RMGI cements provided better bonding property than conventional glass ionomer cements.<sup>1</sup> Microabraded and tin-plated alloy bonded with a RMGI demonstrated strong bond strength.<sup>3</sup> However, bond strengths of zinc-phosphate, glass ionomer, and RMGI cements were inferior to those of resin adhesives under the specific conditions.<sup>9</sup> In addition, the bond strength of glass ionomer joined to gold alloy was inferior to that of composite luting agent.<sup>10</sup>

Both prepolymerized alkenoic acids soluble to water and methacrylic monomers are being incorporated into RMGI compositions. Moreover, several RMGI luting agents do not contain water.<sup>4</sup> This fact encourages application of adhesive functional monomers in combination with RMGIs for bonding both ceramic and cast metal restorations. Although a number of experimental results have been reported on bonding noble metal alloys with priming agents and adhesive resins,<sup>2,6,11,12</sup> only limited information is available about the effect of priming agents on bond strength of RMGIs to noble alloys,<sup>4,5</sup> especially as related to storage conditions. This study

evaluated the influence of dry and wet storage conditions on bond strength of four luting agents joined to gold alloy. Short-term weight change of luting agents was also determined.

# **Materials and Methods**

Table 1 summarizes the information on the materials assessed. A gold alloy designed for porcelain-fusedto-metal technique (Degudent U, DeguDent GmbH, Hanau, Germany) was used as the substrate material. A single-liquid metal priming agent (Metaltite, Tokuyama Dental Corp., Tokyo, Japan), with a functional monomer 6-methacryloyloxyhexyl 2-thiouracil-5-carboxylate (MTU-6), was employed. Two RMGIs (Fuji Lute,<sup>13</sup> FL, GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan; and Ionotite F, IT, Tokuyama Dental Corp.), a resin adhesive (Super-Bond C&B, SB, Sun Medical Co., Ltd., Moriyama, Japan), and a conventional glass ionomer (Ketac Cem  $\mu$ , KC, 3M-Espe AG, Seefeld, Germany) were selected as the luting agents.

Material	Abbreviation	Component	Lot number	Composition
Gold alloy Degudent U			10011271	Au 77.3, Pt 9.8, Pd 8.9, Others 4.0 mass%
Metal priming agent Metaltite			01403	MTU-6, Ethanol
Luting agents Resin-modified glass	s ionomers (RMGI	s)		
Fuji Lute	FL	Powder Liquid	0711071 0711051	Fluoroaluminosilicate glass* Polyalkenoic acid, HEMA, Water, UDMA
Ionotite F	IT	Powder Liquid	005067 005067	Calcium aluminosilicate glass, Silica** HEMA, UDMA, Phosphate, MTU-6, BPO, Amine
Resin adhesive				
Super-Bond C&B	SB	Initiator Monomer Powder	RL43 RK1 RF1	Partially oxidized TBB 4-META, MMA PMMA. PMMA-coated titanium oxide
Conventional glass i	onomer		200552	
Ketac Cem µ	КС	Powder Liquid	290553 290553	Glass, Polyethylene polycarboxylic acid Water, Tartaric acid

MTU-6, 6-methacryloyloxyhexyl 2-thiouracil-5-carboxylate; HEMA, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; UDMA, Dimethacryloxyethyl 2,2,4- (or 2,4,4-) trimethylhexamethylene diurethane; BPO, Benzoyl peroxide; TBB, Tri-*n*-butylborane; 4-META, 4-methacryloyloxyethyl trimellitate anhydride; MMA: Methyl methacrylate; PMMA: Poly(methyl methacrylate. \*Bouillaguest et al.<sup>13</sup> 2003, \*\*Amamo et al.<sup>4</sup> 2004.

# Bond strength test

A total of 75 pairs of disks (10 mm and 8 mm in diameter by 2.5 mm in thickness) were cast from the gold alloy. All disks were ground flat with #1,500 silicon carbide abrasive paper and cleaned with acetone. A piece of tape 50 µm in thickness with a circular hole 5 mm in diameter was positioned on the surface of the larger disk to define the bond area. The 75 specimens were divided into five sets of 15 specimens. The first and second sets were unprimed and luted either with IT or with KC, which were spatulated according to the manufacturers' instructions. The third set was primed with Metaltite, and luted with FL. The fourth set was unprimed and bonded with SB using brush-dip technique. The last set was primed with Metaltite and bonded with SB. Immediately after bonding, a constant load of 5.0 N was applied to the bonded specimens for 30 minutes. All specimens were immersed in 37°C distilled water for 24 hours. This state was defined as storage condition #1, and was immediately evaluated by shear bond test. Five sets of five specimens were subsequently immersed in

water for 7 days (condition #2). The remaining five sets of five specimens were subsequently stored in dry-state with 28% humidity for 7 days (condition #3). After storage in each condition, shear bond strength was determined with a mechanical testing device (Type 5567, Instron Corp., Canton, MA, USA) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/minute. Equality of variance of the bond strength results was primarily analyzed by Levene test and F test. When the Levene test and F test did not show equality of variances, Dunnett's T3 test was performed with the significance level set at 0.05.

#### Weight change

Four luting agents were spatulated and poured into plastic molds 10 mm in diameter and 2 mm in thickness. For each luting agent, 15 specimens were prepared. Thirty minutes after preparation, the baseline weight of each disk specimen was determined. The 15 specimens were divided into three groups consisting of five specimens. Specimens were stored at the different three conditions, as described in the previous section. The weight of each specimen was determined with an electronic balance (AL204, Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). Average weight change of five specimens for each luting agent was calculated from the baseline and post-storage weights.

# Results

Levene test and F test run on the bond strength results revealed that several groups did not show equality of variance. Dunnett's T3 test was applied therefore to evaluate the influence of storage conditions and luting systems on bond strength.

Storage condition		M+FL		IT		M+SB		SB		KC	
37°C water for 24 h	Median	31.1		35.8	а	40.3	а	25.5		8.6	
	Mean	31.5	b	32.8	b	40.9		23.9		8.5	
	SD	1.4		7.0	c	1.0		3.5	c	1.9	
37°C water for 24 h +	Median	20.9	d	23.9	d	38.8		11.0		2.0	
37°C water for 7 days	Mean	21.3	e	26.3		39.6		11.2	e	2.5	
	SD	5.9		4.2		2.7		1.7		2.4	
37°C water for 24 h +	Median	2.8		28.9		39.4	f	35.6	f	0.1	
37°C dry state for 7 days	Mean	3.4	g	29.1		40.3		35.5		0.1	g
	SD	1.9		1.0		4.2		1.4		0.2	

 Table 2.
 Bond strengths (MPa) of five luting systems and statistical categories.

Identical letters in the same horizontal lines indicate that the values are not statistically different (Dunnett's T3, p>0.05). N=5. M+FL, Metaltite + Fuji Lute; IT, Ionotite F; M+SB, Metaltite + Super-Bond C&B; SB, Super-Bond C&B; KC, Ketac Cem.

Tables 2 and 3 show the shear bond strength results as well as statistical categories. Bond strength varied from 0.1 MPa to 40.9 MPa. Ketac Cem glass ionomer resulted in the lowest bond strength among the five systems, and reduction after 7 days was significant (category j). The specimens primed with Metaltite and bonded with Fuji Lute also showed considerable reduction in bond strength after 7 days. Deterioration of this group was more remarkable for the dry-stored specimens. The 24-h bond strength of the Super-Bond C&B was 23.9 MPa for the unprimed specimens. Bond strength of this system deteriorated to 11.2 MPa after storage in water for 7 days, whereas it increased to 35.5 MPa after dry-storage for 7 days. Ionotite generated bond strength ranged from 26.3 MPa to 32.8 MPa. The average values of the luting agent, however, were not significantly different from each other (category h). Within the system of the present experiment, Metaltite combined with

#### Furuchi et al.

Super-Bond C&B exhibited the greatest bond strength (39.6 to 40.9 MPa) regardless of storage conditions. In addition, bond strength of Super-Bond C&B was not reduced after 7 days and was unaffected by the dry and wet storage conditions (category i).

	0	0				
Storage condition		M+FL	IT	M+SB	SB	KC
37°C water for 24 h	Median	31.1	35.8 h	40.3	i 25.5	8.6
$37^{\circ}C$ water for 24 h + 7 days	Median	20.9	23.9 h	38.8	i 11.0	2.0 j
37°C water for 24 h + 37°C dry state for 7 days	Median	2.8	28.9 h	39.4	i 35.6	0.1 j

Table 3. Statistical categories of the storage conditions.

Identical letters in the same vertical columns indicate that the values are not statistically different (Dunnett's T3, p>0.05). N=5.

Table 4 summarizes the change of weight of the four luting agents as compared with the baseline set at 30 minutes of specimen preparation. Weight change of luting agents after storage was  $\pm 1.6$  to  $\pm 5.5\%$  for condition #1 (immersion in water for 24 h),  $\pm 2.6$  to  $\pm 6.0\%$  for condition #2 (immersion in water for 24 h followed by additional 7-day-immersion in water), and  $\pm 4.5$  to  $\pm 0.4\%$  for condition #3 (immersion in water for 24 h followed by dry-state storage for 7 days).

Table 4. Weight change (%) of four luting agents after three storage conditions.

		FL	IT	SB	KC
37°C water for 24 h	Median	104.4	103.5	101.6	105.7
	Mean	104.4	103.5	101.6	105.5
	SD	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3
37°C water for 24 h +	Median	105.7	105.8	102.5	105.8
37°C water for 7 days	Mean	106.0	105.6	102.6	105.8
	SD	1.2	0.6	0.2	0.3
37°C water for 24 h +	Median	97.4	99.9	99.6	95.4
Dry state for 7 days	Mean	97.4	99.6	99.5	95.5
	SD	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.8

Baseline determination, After 30 minutes of preparation.

# Discussion

This study evaluated the effect of specimen storage conditions on bond strength to gold alloy of four luting agents. The effect of MTU-6 functional monomer on bonding to gold alloy was also assessed. The 24-h bond strength results showed that bonding to gold alloy of Super-Bond C&B without bonding agent and Ketac Cem provided no significant advantages. The original Super-Bond C&B material contains a carboxylic monomer for bonding enamel and dentin, and does not contain any functional monomer capable of bonding noble alloys. The Ketac Cem glass ionomer does not contain any polymerizable monomer, hence its adhesive performance is not satisfactory, except for interaction between polyalkenoic acid and tooth structure. The other three systems (Metaltite and Fuji Lute, Ionotite, and Metaltite and Super-Bond C&B) employed MTU-6 as a bonding promoter for the gold alloy.<sup>2,12</sup> In addition, these three systems contain a considerable amount of co-polymerizable monomers in the liquid component. The authors speculate that the bond strength of three groups (categories a and b) in Table 2 is derived from the interaction between MTU-6 and gold alloy, and from subsequent copolymerization between MTU-6 and monomer component of the luting agents.

The difference in bond strength between Fuji Lute and Ionotite was apparent after dry-storage of the

specimens. The former contains water in the liquid component, whereas the latter does not contain water. It is not surprising, therefore if bond strength of the water-based material is affected negatively after dry-storage. However, this result does not discourage the use of water-based luting agents, since luting agents are applied generally to oral environments in the presence of saliva.

Weight change of luting agents clearly demonstrates that water-based materials are susceptible to changes in wet and dry environments. However, this phenomenon is not particularly useful for metal priming systems. These results may promote the combined application of hydrophilic monomer such as 2-hydroxyethyl metahcryalte (HEMA) and adhesive functional monomer such as 4-methacryloyloxyethyl trimellitate anhydride (4-META) for bonding dentin. This is due to the fact that dentin is composed of a complex of hydrophilic collagen fibers and inorganic hydroxyapatite.

Within the limitations of the current experiment, it can be concluded that the bond strength to gold alloy of two water-based luting agents (Fuji Lute and Ketac Cem  $\mu$ ) and a resin adhesive without priming (Super-Bond C&B) was negatively affected by dry or wet storage conditions. In particular, the weight loss of two water-based luting agents was remarkable after dry-storage. Consistent bonding to gold alloy was generated with the combined application of MTU-6 thione monomer and two polymerizable luting agents (Ionotite and Super-Bond C&B).

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Sato Fund, Nihon University School of Dentistry (2008), Grant from Dental Research Center (A), Nihon University School of Dentistry (2008), and Special Research Grant for the Development of Distinctive Education from the Promotion and Mutual Aid Corporation for Private Schools of Japan (2008).

#### References

- 1. Vallittu PK, Forss H. Adhesion of glass ionomer cement to a ceramometal alloy. J Prosthet Dent 1997; 77: 12-6.
- Matsumura H, Kamada K, Tanoue N, Atsuta M. Effect of thione primers on bonding of noble metal alloys with an adhesive resin. J Dent 2000; 28: 287-93.
- 3. Swartz JM, Davis RD, Overton JD. Tensile bond strength of resin-modified glass-ionomer cement to microabraded and silica-coated or tin-plated high noble ceramic alloy. J Prosthodont 2000; 9: 195-200.
- 4. Amano S, Yoshida T, Inage H, Takamizawa T, Rikuta A, Ando S, Kuroda T, Miyazaki M. Effect of metal conditioner application on bond strength of luting cements to a noble metal. Dent Mater J 2005; 24: 654-60.
- 5. Furuchi M, Oshima A, Ishikawa Y, Koizumi H, Tanoue N, Matsumura H. Effect of metal priming agents on bond strength of resin-modified glass ionomers joined to gold alloy. Dent Mater J 2007; 26: 728-32.
- Ishii T, Koizumi H, Yoneyama T, Tanoue N, Ishikawa Y, Matsumura H. Comparative evaluation of thione and phosphate monomers on bonding gold alloy and Ti-6AI-7Nb alloy with tri-n-butylborane initiated resin. Dent Mater J 2008; 27: 56-60.
- 7. Piwowarczyk A, Lauer H-C, Sorensen JA. Microleakage of various cementing agents for full cast crowns. Dent Mater 2005; 21: 445-53.
- Farrell CV, Johnson GH, Oswald MT, Tucker RD. Effect of cement selection and finishing technique on marginal opening of cast gold inlays. J Prosthet Dent 2008; 99: 287-92.
- Piwowarczyk A, Lauer H-C, Sorensen JA. In vitro shear bond strength of cementing agents to fixed prosthodontic restorative materials. J Prosthet Dent 2004; 92: 265-73.
- 10. Burmann PA, Santos JFF, May LG, da Silva Pereira JE, Cardoso PEC. Effects of surface treatments and storage times on the tensile bond strength of adhesive cements to noble and base metal alloys. Gen Dent 2008; 56: 160-6.
- 11. Matsumura H, Shimoe S, Nagano K, Atsuta M. Effect of noble metal conditioners on bonding between prosthetic composite material and silver-palladium-copper-gold alloy. J Prosthet Dent 1999; 81: 710-4.
- 12. Koishi Y, Tanoue N, Yanagida H, Atsuta M, Nakamura M, Matsumura H. Evaluation of 2 thione primers and 3 resin adhesives for silver-palladium-copper-gold alloy bonding. Quintessence Int 2006; 37: 395-9.
- 13. Bouillaguet S, Troesch S, Wataha JC, Krejci I, Meyer J-M, Pashley DH. Microtensile bond strength between adhesive cements and root canal dentin. Dent Mater 2003; 19: 199-205.

# Correspondence to:

# Dr. Mika Furuchi

Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Nihon University School of Dentistry 1-8-13, Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 101-8310, Japan Fax: +81-3-3219-8351 E-mail: nemoto@dent.nihon-u.ac.jp

Received July 30, 2008. Accepted September 16, 2008. Copyright ©2008 by the *International Chinese Journal of Dentistry*.